

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/675,806	WEDEL ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Amine Riad	2113	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Allowed

(1) Amine Riad.

(3) _____.

(2) Jeffrey Berkowitz.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 21 February 2006

Time: 9:00 and 17:00

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

No rejection was discussed.

Claims discussed:

Claim 16, and claim 18.

Prior art documents discussed:

No prior art was discussed.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant needs to change the dependency of claim 16 from claim 16 to claim 1. Applicant needs to include in claim 18 the necessary physical article to constitute a system within the meaning of § 101. Examiner suggested to include "a client device" as a physical element.